

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/518,888 12/21/2004		12/21/2004	Werner Zambach	70096	4939		
26748	7590	08/24/2006		EXAMINER			
		PROTECTION, I	BARKER, MICHAEL P				
PATENT A		DEMARK DEPARTN	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
GREENSB	ORO, NC	27409	1626				
				DATE MAIL ED: 08/24/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)						
		10/518,888		ZAMBACH ET AL.						
Offi	ce Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Michael P. Barke		1626						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
1)⊠ Respon	sive to communication(s) filed on	21 December 2004.								
2a)☐ This ac	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.									
•	•									
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
Disposition of Claims										
4a) Of ti 5) ☐ Claim(s 6) ☐ Claim(s 7) ☐ Claim(s	s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application above claim(s) is/are with a sis/are allowed. s) is/are allowed. s) is/are rejected. s) is/are objected to. s) 1-7 are subject to restriction and	thdrawn from consider								
Application Pap	ers									
10) The dra Applicar Replace	ecification is objected to by the Exwing(s) filed on is/are: a)[ Int may not request that any objection ement drawing sheet(s) including the h or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) obj to the drawing(s) be held correction is required if th	in abeyance. See e drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CF						
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119									
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>										
Attachment(s)	reases Cited (DTO 902)	Λ.□	Interview Summary	/PTO_413\						
2) Notice of Draft	rences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO ail Date	148)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		O-152)					

Page 2

Art Unit: 1626

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-7 are pending in this application and subject to a restriction requirement.

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

## Lack of Unity Requirement

Claims 1-7 are drawn to more than one inventive concept (as defined by PCT Rule 13), and accordingly, a restriction is required according to the provision set forth in PCT Rule 13.2.

PCT Rule 13.2 states that the international application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (requirement of unity of invention). PCT Rule 13.2 further states unity of invention as referred to in PCT Rule 13.1 shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. Special technical features, as defined in PCT Annex B, Part 1(b), include those technical features which define a contribution over the prior art.

PCT Annex B, Part 1(e) provides combinations of different categories of claims and states:

"The method for determining unity of invention under Rule 13.2 shall be construed as permitting, in particular, the inclusion of any one of the following combinations of claims of different categories in the same international application:

(i) in addition to an independent claim for a given product, an independent claim for a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an independent claim for a use of the said product, or

(ii) in addition to an independent claim for a given process, an independent claim for an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process, or

(iii) in addition to an independent claim for a given product, an independent claim for a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product and an independent claim for an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process,..."

This application contains inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. Due to numerous and widely divergent variables in the compound of Formula (I) for example: A<sub>1</sub>, A<sub>2</sub>, A<sub>3</sub>, A<sub>4</sub>, D, W, T, Q, Y, X<sub>1</sub>, X<sub>2</sub>, etc., a precise listing of inventive groups cannot be made. The following Groups are exemplary:

**Group I**: Claims 1-7 (in part) are drawn to compounds and compositions of Formula (I), wherein: **D** is CH, classified in various subclasses of classes 424 and 588.

Group II: Claims 1-7 (in part) are drawn to compounds and compositions of Formula (I), wherein: **D** is N, classified in various subclasses of classes 424 and 588.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted. As stated above, the above list of groups is not exhaustive, as it would be impossible under the time constraints due to the large volume of subject matter claimed in this application. The claims herein lack unity of invention under PCT Rules 13.1 and 13.2 because, pursuant to 37 CFR 1.475(a). **Groups I-II** lack unity of invention under 37 CFR 1.475 because:

Where a group of inventions is claimed in an application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical feature among those inventions

Application/Control Number: 10/518,888 Page 4

Art Unit: 1626

involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. . .those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

There is no structural moiety which is common throughout the Claims such that a technical feature can be identified, much less a special technical feature which defines a contribution over the prior art. Therefore, Claims 1-7 are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept, and there is lack of unity of invention. The variables vary extensively and, when taken as a whole, result in vastly different compounds. Additionally, the vastness of the claimed subject matter and the complications in understanding the claimed subject matter impose a serious burden on any examination of the claimed subject matter.

Because the claims do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 and lack the same or corresponding special technical features, the claims lack unity of invention and should be limited to a product, a process for the manufacture of said product, or a method of use.

Furthermore, with respect to **Groups I-II**, even if unity of invention under 36 CFR 1.475(a) is not lacking, a national stage application, under 37 CFR 1.475(b), containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn to only one of the following combinations:

- (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
- (2) A product and process of use of said product; or
- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product, and a use of said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specially designed for carrying out said process; or
- (5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product, and an apparatus or means specially designed for carrying out said process.

Art Unit: 1626

Moreover, according to 37 CFR 1.475(c), if an application contains claims to more or less than one of the combinations of categories of invention set forth in paragraph (b), unity of invention might not be present.

In the instant case, the claims are drawn to more than one product, process, or method of use. According to 37 CFR 1.475(e),

The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim.

As a result, the claims lack unity of invention and applicant is required to elect a single invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement, to be complete, must include an election of the invention to be examined even if the restriction requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

### Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael P. Barker whose telephone number is (571) 272-4341. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 AM- 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Joseph K. McKane, can be reached at (571) 272-0699. The unofficial fax phone for this group are (571) 273-8300.

When filing a FAX in Technology Center 1600, please indicate the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file, and "Unofficial" for draft documents

Application/Control Number: 10/518,888 Page 6

Art Unit: 1626

and other communications with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of the application.

This will expedite processing of your papers.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is viable through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael P. Barker

Patent Examiner, AU 1626

JP. BA

(for) Joseph McKane

Supervisory Patent Examiner, AU 1626